

PHASE OF THE ELECTRICAL ACTIVITY RHYTHM IN THE SCN IN VITRO NOT INFLUENCED BY PREPARATION TIME

Henk Tjebbe vanderLeest, Mariska J. Vansteensel, Hans Duindam, Stephan Michel & Johanna H. Meijer

To cite this article: Henk Tjebbe vanderLeest, Mariska J. Vansteensel, Hans Duindam, Stephan Michel & Johanna H. Meijer (2009) PHASE OF THE ELECTRICAL ACTIVITY RHYTHM IN THE SCN IN VITRO NOT INFLUENCED BY PREPARATION TIME, *Chronobiology International*, 26:6, 1075-1089, DOI: [10.3109/07420520903227746](https://doi.org/10.3109/07420520903227746)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/07420520903227746>



Published online: 06 Oct 2009.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 54



View related articles [↗](#)

RESEARCH PAPERS

PHASE OF THE ELECTRICAL ACTIVITY RHYTHM IN THE SCN IN VITRO NOT INFLUENCED BY PREPARATION TIME

**Henk Tjebbe vanderLeest, Mariska J. Vansteensel, Hans Duindam,
Stephan Michel, and Johanna H. Meijer**

*Department of Molecular Cell Biology, Laboratory for Neurophysiology, Leiden University
Medical Center, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands*

The mammalian circadian clock, located in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) of the hypothalamus, drives daily rhythms in behavioral, physiological, and endocrine functions. The SCN has a genetic basis for rhythm generation and remains rhythmic when it is isolated and kept in constant conditions. This allows for an *in vitro* analysis of circadian attributes, which is a powerful approach in the study of SCN cellular mechanisms. For studying the phase of the SCN rhythm *in vitro*, it is important to assess whether preparation of the tissue itself introduces phase shifts. In the present study, we investigated whether preparation of hypothalamic brain slices affects the phase and waveform of the rhythm in electrical impulse frequency of the mouse SCN. Mice were kept under a 12:12 h light-dark cycle, and slices were prepared at six timepoints distributed over the 24 h cycle. We used the peak time and the time of the half-maximum levels in electrical activity as markers for circadian phase. The peak time in electrical activity was observed during the mid-subjective day, irrespective of the time of preparation, at a mean ZT of 5.18 ± 0.20 h ($n = 39$). After preparation in red light at the end of the subjective night, the circadian phase appeared slightly advanced. When slices were prepared in the dark, using infrared illumination, the ANOVA showed no significant differences in peak times and time of half-maximum values between preparation times. The results affirm the value of the slice preparation for studying the phase of the SCN *in vitro*. We conclude that the phase and waveform of the electrical activity in the SCN *in vitro* is unaffected by the time of slice preparation but may be influenced by short light presentation when preparation is performed during the subjective night. (Author correspondence: j.h.meijer@lumc.nl)

Keywords Suprachiasmatic nucleus, Electrophysiology, Entrainment, Phase shift, *in vitro*

Submitted February 19, 2009, Returned for revision March 4, 2009, Accepted June 3, 2009

Address correspondence to Johanna H. Meijer, Department of Molecular Cell Biology, Laboratory for Neurophysiology, Leiden University Medical Center, P.O. Box 9600, 2300 RC, Leiden, The Netherlands. Tel.: +31 (0)71 526 9760; Fax: +31 (0)71 526 8270; E-mail: j.h.meijer@lumc.nl

INTRODUCTION

In mammals, circadian rhythms in physiological, endocrine, and behavioral functions are driven by the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) (Meijer & Rietveld, 1989; Ralph et al., 1990; Stephan & Zucker, 1972). The SCN is located at the base of the anterior hypothalamus and receives light input via the retinohypothalamic tract (Morin & Allen, 2006). The ability of the SCN to generate circadian rhythms can be explained at the molecular level by a transcriptional-translational feedback loop (Dardente & Cermakian, 2007; Kume et al., 1999; Reppert & Weaver, 2002; Takahashi, 1993). Several genes have been identified that play an essential role in the generation of circadian rhythmicity, including Period (*Per*), Cryptochrome, Clock, and Bmal (Albrecht et al., 1997; Bunker et al., 2000; Hastings & Herzog, 2004; Hastings et al., 1999; Shearman et al., 1997; van der Horst et al., 1999; Vitaterna et al., 1994, 1999). Electrical activity is a major signal of the SCN pacemaker (Schwartz et al., 1987) and shows clear circadian modulation in discharge rate, with high frequencies during the day and low frequencies during the night (Meijer et al., 1998). Neuronal and humoral pathways distribute this temporal information to other parts of the central nervous system (Abrahamson & Moore, 2001; Kalsbeek et al., 2006; Silver et al., 1996). Circadian rhythms in (for example) gene expression (Nakamura et al., 2005; Yamazaki et al., 2000), neurotransmitter content (Shinohara et al., 2000), and electrical activity (Brown et al., 2006; Gillette et al., 1995; Schaap et al., 2003) persist in *in vitro* preparations, such as in dissociated cells (Welsh et al., 1995), organotypic slice cultures (Herzog et al., 1997), and acutely prepared hypothalamic slices (Groos & Hendriks, 1982). The *in vitro* brain slice preparation offers the valuable possibility to investigate circadian rhythms under constant conditions and in the absence of influences from other parts of the central nervous system. Moreover in acutely prepared slices, it is possible to evaluate after-effects of previous manipulations of the light-dark (LD) cycle, such as different photoperiods, constant light, or shifts of the environmental LD cycle (Albus et al., 2005; Jagota et al., 2000; Mrugala et al., 2000; Nagano et al., 2003; Nakamura et al., 2005; Ohta et al., 2005; Schaap et al., 2003; Shinohara et al., 1995; VanderLeest et al., 2007; Vansteensel et al., 2003; Yannielli & Harrington, 2000).

For all such *in vitro* studies, it is important to determine whether the time of preparation of the slice affects the phase of the SCN rhythm, such as the time of maximal activity. However, detailed studies on this issue are scarce. One study reported that in *Per1-luc* transgenic rats, the time of preparation largely determines the peak time of the *Per1* rhythm *in vitro* (Yoshikawa et al., 2005). These results prompted us to analyze potential phase-shifting effects of slice preparation on the electrical activity

rhythm *in vitro* in more detail. We used three phase markers to evaluate the influence of slice preparation on the SCN rhythm: the time of maximum activity, the time of half-maximum discharge level on the rising slope, and the time of half-maximum activity on the declining slope. We also measured the broadness of the recorded electrical activity peaks. The results of the present study show that the time of preparation of brain slices has no influence on the different phase markers of the electrical activity rhythm in the SCN.

METHODS

Animals

All experiments were performed under the approval of the Animal Experiments Committee of the Leiden University Medical Center and in accordance with the journals' ethical standards (Portaluppi et al., 2008). Male C57Bl6/J mice (Harlan, Horst, The Netherlands) were individually housed in clear plastic cages that were equipped with a running wheel, in a sound-attenuated and temperature-controlled room. Food and water were available *ad libitum*. The animals were entrained to a 12:12 LD cycle for at least two weeks, with the time of lights-off defined as zeitgeber time 12 (ZT) and the time of lights-on as ZT 0. The animals were sacrificed at ZT 2, 6, 10, 14, 18, or 22. Additional experiments were performed at ZT 0, immediately after lights-on, because preparation at ZT 2 did not allow for a reliable estimation of the peak. Decapitation during the subjective day (at ZT 0, 2, 6, and 10) as well as subsequent brain dissection occurred under normal room light. Dim red light ($10 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$) was used when the animals were taken from their dark period (ZT 14, 18, and 22). Additional experiments were performed at ZT 22 in the dark, with the aid of infrared light viewers.

In vitro Electrophysiology

Preparation of brain slices and recordings were performed as described earlier (VanderLeest et al., 2007). After decapitation, brains were rapidly dissected and placed in ice-cold bicarbonate buffered artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF), containing (in mM): NaCl 116.4, KCl 5.4, NaH_2PO_4 1.0, MgSO_4 0.8, CaCl_2 1.8, NaHCO_3 23.8, and glucose 15.1, as well as 5 mg/l gentamycine. Coronal, hypothalamic slices ($\sim 400 \mu\text{m}$) containing the SCN were prepared with a tissue chopper and transferred to a laminar flow chamber, where they were kept submerged in ACSF (35°C) and stabilized by a tungsten fork. The slice chamber was continuously perfused with ACSF at a rate of 1.5 ml/min and oxygenated with warmed, humidified O_2 (95%) and CO_2 (5%). Before the recording was

started, slices were equilibrated in the chamber for ~ 1 h. One slice per animal was used and contained at least 50% of the rostro-caudal extent of the SCN. Recording electrodes (insulated 90% platinum and 10% iridium, 75 μm) were placed in the ventro-medial aspect of the left and right SCN in order to obtain multiunit neuronal activity recordings from both nuclei. The electrical activity was amplified ($\times 20$ k) by a high-impedance amplifier and subjected to a band-pass filter (0.3–3 kHz). A hardware spike trigger was set so that the threshold was above noise level. The action potentials crossing this threshold were counted in 10 s bins with the aid of custom-made software. The electrodes and spike threshold settings were left unaltered during the experiment. Data were excluded from analysis if the fluid levels were unstable or when the phase markers could not unambiguously be determined.

Data Analysis

The data were analyzed offline in MATLAB (The Mathworks). The multiunit data were plotted against ZT and smoothed using a least squares algorithm (Eilers, 2003). Peak time, determined as the time of maximum firing, as well as minimum and half-maximum levels, were determined in these smoothed recordings. To estimate the influence of the smoothing parameter on the observed peak times, we applied both a weak and stronger smoothing procedure (weak: average $\lambda = 3 \times 10^9$; stronger: average $\lambda = 8 \times 10^{10}$). When both recordings of the slice were successful, we took the average from both channels, resulting in a more robust estimate of circadian phase of the whole slice (see statistical analysis); otherwise, we used the data of one recording. In all experiments, the first peak in multiunit activity was used as a primary marker of clock phase. Additionally, the times of half-maximum activity levels on the rising and declining slope of the multiunit activity patterns were calculated. We also calculated the width of the electrical activity peak, on the basis of the half-maximum values.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed in Origin7 (OriginLab Corporation, One Roundhouse Plaza Northampton, Massachusetts, USA). Before averaging the peak phases of two recordings from a single slice, we performed a two-sided, paired t-test on the peak phase between both recording channels from all successful experiments and found that peak times within a slice were not significantly different (paired t-test, $p > 0.06$). The influence of a stronger smoothing on the time of the maximum electrical activity was also tested using a two-sided, paired t-test. To test for differences among preparation times, one-way ANOVAs with post-hoc Tukey and Bonferroni

tests were used. We applied ANOVAs for time of maximum activity, half-maximum values, and width of the peak. All provided values are means \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). Data were considered significantly different when $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

We successfully recorded rhythms in spontaneous electrical activity in the SCN in a total of 51 acutely prepared hypothalamic slices. We obtained successful recordings from both SCN nuclei in 36 slices and from one nucleus in the other 15 slices. Our recordings following preparation at ZT 0, 6, 10, 14, 18, and 22 showed increased electrical activity during the projected day and decreased activity during the projected night (see Figure 1). All of our individual electrical activity peaks occurred during the projected light at a mean ZT of 5.18 ± 0.20 h ($n = 39$; see Figure 2).

The mean peak times for preparations during the dark period of the animal were ZT 14: 5.76 ± 0.58 h ($n = 5$), ZT 18: 5.43 ± 0.48 h ($n = 5$), and ZT 22: 3.92 ± 0.53 h ($n = 6$). The mean peak times obtained for preparations during the day were ZT 6: 4.36 ± 0.35 h ($n = 11$) and for ZT 10: 5.97 ± 0.54 h ($n = 5$). We performed additional experiments and prepared slices at ZT 0, because preparation at ZT 2 ($n = 6$) appeared too close before peak time and interfered with the estimation of the peak. The mean peak time after preparation at ZT 0 was 5.19 ± 0.25 h ($n = 6$).

An ANOVA on the peak times obtained for the different times of preparation reached significance ($p < 0.02$), showing that the data were not homogeneous; however, neither a post-hoc Tukey nor a post-hoc Bonferroni test on the data used in the ANOVA revealed significant differences in peak time between any of the groups. Although not significantly different, the average peak time after preparation at ZT 22 appeared relatively early, with four of the ten experiments that displayed a peak before ZT 4 following preparation at ZT 22. Therefore, we performed additional experiments and prepared slices at ZT 22 in the dark with the aid of an infrared light viewer. This resulted in a mean peak time of 5.30 ± 0.62 h ($n = 7$), which was not different from the results obtained in red light at ZT 22 (t-test, $p > 0.12$). Moreover, when we used these results in the ANOVA, no significant effect of the time of preparation was observed ($p > 0.15$).

Furthermore, we determined the peak times of all successful recordings after applying a higher smoothing parameter. The stronger smoothing did not result in a consistent earlier or later peak time, but the SEM in peak time decreased slightly (weak smoothing: 5.17 ± 0.19 h; stronger smoothing: 5.08 ± 0.17 h; paired t-test, $p > 0.22$, $n = 51$).

During the rising and declining phase, the electrical activity changed rapidly ($21.5 \pm 1.3\%/h$ and $16.9 \pm 1.0\%/h$ respectively, $n = 24$), offering




FIGURE 1 Extracellular multiunit electrical activity rhythms in the SCN following different preparation times. Raw data plots of multiunit electrical activity as a function of zeitgeber time. Preparation was started, from top to bottom, at ZT 6, ZT 10, ZT 14, ZT 18, ZT 22, and ZT 0, respectively. The timing of the peak and shape of the rhythm in spontaneous action potential firing rate is consistent between preparation times.

a precise marker for circadian phase. We analyzed the times of the half-maximum levels at the rising and declining phase of the electrical activity rhythm; in this analysis, we used data from ZT 22 that were obtained in darkness (see Figure 3). The mean half-maximum level of the rising phase occurred at ZT 0.96 ± 0.17 h ($n = 24$), and at the declining phase it occurred at ZT 10.28 ± 0.22 h ($n = 38$). The mean peak width was 9.24 ± 0.29 h ($n = 24$). No significant effects of preparation time were observed at any of the circadian phases on the half-maximum values or peak width (ANOVA, rising slope: $p > 0.07$, $n = 24$; falling slope: $p > 0.06$, $n = 38$; peak width: $p > 0.61$, $n = 24$).




FIGURE 2 Peak phase in multiunit electrical activity following different preparation times. The time of maximal MUA, or multiunit electrical activity (ZT max \pm SEM), is plotted against the time of brain slice preparation. All peaks in MUA occurred during the projected light period. No significant differences were observed in peak time when preparation in the subjective night was performed in the dark using infrared viewers (ANOVA, $p > 0.15$). Note that the ZT 0 and ZT 24 data points are repeated and thus are the same values.

DISCUSSION

We prepared coronal hypothalamic slices containing the SCN at different zeitgeber times from mice kept under a 12 : 12 LD cycle. From these slices, we recorded the spontaneous electrical activity with stationary extracellular multiunit electrodes. In all experiments, the electrical activity showed high levels during the animals' subjective day and low levels during the night (see Figure 1). This is consistent with previous multiunit electrical activity recordings in the SCN using stationary electrodes (Albus et al., 2002; Bouskila & Dudek, 1993; Brown et al., 2006; Gribkoff et al., 1998; Liu et al., 1997; Mrugala et al., 2000; Prosser, 1998). Electrical activity rhythms of the SCN have also been investigated by sampling the electrical activity rate from many individual neurons for short durations of time. These sampling procedures yielded similar electrical activity patterns of the ensemble, with a peak during the mid-subjective day (Akiyama et al., 1999; Burgoon et al., 2004; Mason & Rusak, 1990; Prosser, 1998; Saeb-Parsy & Dyball, 2003; Soscia & Harrington, 2004). One study showed influences of time of preparation on the phase of the *in vitro* rhythm. In that study, preparation of the brain slices during the animals' dark period was performed under brief (20–30 s) light exposure, which may have caused the observed phase shifts (Gillette, 1986). Surprisingly, no other studies have systematically analyzed the influence of preparation time on the peak of the electrical activity rhythm.

When we obtained two successful recordings from one slice, we averaged the peak times to obtain the best possible estimate for the phase of the SCN as a whole. It is well known that populations within the SCN differ in phase. Simultaneous recordings from populations of the left and right SCN of the rat can show phase differences of up to 4 h, with a




FIGURE 3 Properties of multiunit electrical activity rhythms in the SCN. (A) Average zeitgeber time (\pm SEM) of the half-maximum levels on the rising slope of multiunit electrical activity as a function of time of preparation. No significant differences were observed between preparation times (ANOVA, $p > 0.07$). Note that for some preparation times, the rising phase of the first peak in electrical activity could not be determined. (B) The average time (\pm SEM) of the half-maximum levels on the declining phase of the peak as a function of time of preparation. It was consistent for all times of preparation, and no significant differences were found between any of the preparation times (ANOVA, $p > 0.06$). Note that the ZT 0 and ZT 24 data points are repeated and are the same values. (C) The width of the multiunit peak as a function of time of brain slice preparation. Width of multiunit electrical activity was also unaffected by the time of preparation (ANOVA, $p > 0.61$).

mean difference of about 1 h (Schaap et al., 2001, 2003). Populations from the dorsal and ventral rat SCN show mean phase differences of 0.9 h (Schaap et al., 2003). Regional differences have also been observed in the mouse SCN (Brown & Piggins, 2009; VanderLeest et al., 2007). Recordings of single mouse SCN neurons in acutely prepared slices show phase differences in *Per1* GFP and luciferase expression (Quintero et al., 2003; Yamaguchi et al., 2003). In the present study, we found a range in peak times for each preparation time. Most peaks of the electrical

rhythm occurred around mid-day (between ZT 4–8), but some were observed outside this range.

We noticed that the data from ZT 2 were influenced by the relatively short interval between slice preparation and determination of the peak time. After preparation at ZT 2, and subsequent settling of the slice for 1 h, we started the actual recording at around ZT 3.5. Because of the lack of a clear rising phase at this time of the cycle, a number of peaks could not unambiguously be determined. We concluded that preparation at ZT 2 is not suitable for determination of the first peak, and we performed additional recordings at ZT 0 to obtain data for preparation at the early day. The peak time for preparation at ZT 0 was at ZT 5.19 ± 0.25 h ($n = 6$).

For preparation at ZT 22, the mean peak time occurred relatively early, which was attributable to a very early peak time in four out of the six recorded slices at this preparation time. Light exposure during the beginning of the night causes delays of the circadian pacemaker of the mouse, while light exposure at the end of the night causes advances. As we prepared our slices under dim red light, we wondered whether the short exposure to light may have caused a phase advance. While an exposure time of 3–5 min of red light may not trigger large behavioral phase shifts, it has been shown that the phase shifting response *in vitro* is larger than the response *in vivo* (Vansteensel et al., 2003) and is measurable within several hours after slice preparation (Gillette, 1986; Yannielli & Harrington, 2000). When we repeated the experiments in the dark at ZT 22, using infrared viewers, no differences were detected by ANOVA between any of the preparation times. The obtained peak times at ZT 22, however, were not significantly different from the ones obtained in red light. Therefore, we cannot distinguish whether the early peaks were really due to red-light exposure or to variability in the dataset. The latter would also be in accordance with the finding that no shifts were observed at ZT 14, where phase delays are induced by light. Although the effect is minor or negligible, we suggest that care should be taken with preparations started at ZT 22.

The main focus of our analyses in this article is the peak phase in multiunit electrical activity in the SCN *in vitro*, following preparation at different zeitgeber times. We also analyzed other parameters of the extracellular multiunit electrical activity peak, such as the phase of half-maximum levels and the width of the peak. Half-maximum levels on the rising slope of the multiunit peak were not significantly different between preparation times, and the half-maximum levels on the falling slope and the width of the peaks were also not different. Taken together, the results show that the waveform of the multiunit electrical activity in the SCN is robust and comparable between preparation times.

There have been a great number of in vitro studies in the field of circadian rhythms in which the peak time of the electrical activity rhythm was quantified. The precise time of preparation, however, often has not been provided. To evaluate the time of peak activity observed in the various studies, we restrict ourselves to those studies that provided the preparation time in some detail. Studies performed in rats that were kept on LD 12:12 and were prepared during the light period report average peak times between ZT 5–8 (Bergeron et al., 1999; Gillette, 1986; Gillette & Prosser, 1988; Liu & Gillette, 1996; McArthur et al., 1991, 2000; Prosser, 1998; Rangarajan et al., 1994; Shibata & Moore, 1993). Hamsters housed under a 14:10 LD cycle, also prepared during the light period, showed a narrow range of peak times between ZT 6 and ZT 7 h (Biello et al., 1997; McArthur et al., 2000; Schak & Harrington, 1999; Yannielli et al., 2002). No phase shifting effects were found in hamsters following preparation at different circadian times (Yannielli & Harrington, 2000). In mice, slice preparation during the second half of the day (ZT 6–12) resulted in a peak in SCN activity at ZT 6.3 h (Soscia & Harrington, 2004), and when prepared at ZT 2–4.5 peaks were observed at ZT 6.8 h (Liu et al., 1997). Multiunit recordings performed in brain slices from mice kept in constant darkness and prepared at circadian time 2 (2 CT) showed activity peaks at CT 5.4 h (Albus et al., 2002). Despite differences in techniques, strains, and species, the range of peak times is remarkably narrow. Studies in which the preparation time was provided in a more general way (i.e., preparation was performed during the day) also yielded very similar peak times (for a review, see Gillette, 1991). Together, the findings of these studies indicate a relative robustness in the time of the electrical activity peak in vitro.

At the molecular level, the *Per1* gene is involved in translational-transcriptional feedback (Albrecht et al., 1997; Yamazaki et al., 2000). Transgenic rats that carry a firefly luciferase gene that is under control of the mouse *Per1* promoter (*Per1-luc* rats) can be used to follow the circadian expression of *Per1* both in vivo (Yamaguchi et al., 2001) and in vitro (Yamazaki et al., 2000). Studies on *Per1-luc* expression recordings from the SCN show inconsistent results with regard to the effect of preparation time on the phase of the circadian rhythm. Yamazaki et al. (2000) showed no difference in the phase of the rhythm in bioluminescence in the SCN when prepared at ZT 3 or ZT 9. Preparation of slices, with an interval of 2 h, showed no consistent effects on the phase of *Per1-luc* expression rhythm, although a large range in peak times was observed (Abraham et al., 2005). Yoshikawa and coworkers (2005), however, observed consistent delays, of up to 6 h, for preparations during the animals' dark phase and at the start of the light period (ZT 1, 17, or 23). No visible light was used when preparations were started during the dark period

of the animal, which suggests that the observed shifts were not induced by light.

It is unlikely that species differences explain the differences in results, as electrophysiological recordings show comparable peak times for rats, mice, and hamsters. One possibility could be that the *Per1* expression rhythm may not always parallel the electrical activity rhythm but may dissociate from it as a consequence of the preparation procedure. Differences between electrical activity and *Per1-luc* expression rhythms were found in one study that compared *Per1* luciferase activity with electrical activity following a phase shift of the LD cycle (Vansteensel et al., 2003). It is possible, therefore, that slice preparation induced shifts in *Per1* bioluminescence rhythms, but no shifts in electrical activity. Given the difference in results between studies on *Per1* expression, it is possible that the phase of the *Per1* rhythm is more susceptible to phase shifting effects than the electrical activity rhythm.

Our data do not imply that the characteristics of the electrical activity rhythm measured in vitro are similar to those in vivo. In fact, a number of differences have been observed (Meijer et al., 1997). Some of these differences may be due to the absence of incoming pathways in the slice preparation. In our study, the slices are 400 μm thick, while the SCN in mice is ~ 600 μm from the anterior to the posterior side (Abrahamson & Moore, 2001). As a consequence, connections within the SCN are disrupted to some extent by slicing. An analysis of in vivo versus in vitro characteristics revealed that the in vivo autocorrelation of electrical impulses is lower than in vitro, leading to an increment in variability in vivo. Part of this may stem from incoming information from sleep and activity regulatory areas, as both have been shown to alter SCN electrical impulse frequency (Deboer et al., 2003; Schaap & Meijer, 2001; Yamazaki et al., 1998). In addition, it has been observed that the phase of the SCN rhythm in vitro shifts to a larger extent than the SCN in vivo (van Oosterhout et al., 2008; Vansteensel et al., 2003). Furthermore, the electrical activity peaks in vivo are somewhat broader (~ 2 h) than those observed in vitro (VanderLeest et al., 2007).

In the present study, we investigated whether the time of preparation affects the phase of the rhythm in the electrical activity in the SCN in vitro, and, thus, whether results from different preparation times are comparable. This question is important, as different experimental procedures require different preparation times. We conclude that the peak of the electrical activity rhythm of the SCN renders a robust marker for circadian phase, especially when preparation during the subjective night is performed in darkness, and that the time of the peak is consistent between preparation times.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jan Janse and Sander van Berloo for excellent technical support and assistance. This research was supported by Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO), program grant nr 805.47.212 ‘From Molecule to Cell’, and by “Entrainment of the circadian clock” – EUCLOCK program of the EU.

REFERENCES

- Abraham U, Prior JL, Granados-Fuentes D, Piwnica-Worms DR, Herzog ED. (2005). Independent circadian oscillations of *Period1* in specific brain areas in vivo and in vitro. *J. Neurosci.* 25:8620–8626.
- Abrahamson EE, Moore RY. (2001). Suprachiasmatic nucleus in the mouse: Retinal innervation, intrinsic organization and efferent projections. *Brain Res.* 916:172–191.
- Akiyama M, Kouzu Y, Takahashi S, Wakamatsu H, Moriya T, Maetani M, Watanabe S, Tei H, Sakaki Y, Shibata S. (1999). Inhibition of light- or glutamate-induced mPer1 expression represses the phase shifts into the mouse circadian locomotor and suprachiasmatic firing rhythms. *J. Neurosci.* 19:1115–1121.
- Albrecht U, Sun ZS, Eichele G, Lee CC. (1997). A differential response of two putative mammalian circadian regulators, *mper1* and *mper2*, to light. *Cell* 91:1055–1064.
- Albus H, Bonnefont X, Chaves I, Yasui A, Doczy J, van der Horst GT, Meijer JH. (2002). Cryptochrome-deficient mice lack circadian electrical activity in the suprachiasmatic nuclei. *Curr. Biol.* 12:1130–1133.
- Albus H, Vansteensel MJ, Michel S, Block GD, Meijer JH. (2005). A GABAergic mechanism is necessary for coupling dissociable ventral and dorsal regional oscillators within the circadian clock. *Curr. Biol.* 15:886–893.
- Bergeron HE, Danielson B, Biggs KR, Prosser RA. (1999). TTX blocks baclofen-induced phase shifts of the mammalian circadian pacemaker in vitro. *Brain Res.* 841:193–196.
- Biello SM, Golombek DA, Harrington ME. (1997). Neuropeptide Y and glutamate block each other’s phase shifts in the suprachiasmatic nucleus in vitro. *Neuroscience* 77:1049–1057.
- Bouskila Y, Dudek FE. (1993). Neuronal synchronization without calcium-dependent synaptic transmission in the hypothalamus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 90:3207–3210.
- Brown TM, Piggins HD. (2009). Spatiotemporal heterogeneity in the electrical activity of suprachiasmatic nuclei neurons and their response to photoperiod. *J. Biol. Rhythms* 24:44–54.
- Brown TM, Banks JR, Piggins HD. (2006). A novel suction electrode recording technique for monitoring circadian rhythms in single and multiunit discharge from brain slices. *J. Neurosci.* 156:173–181.
- Bunger MK, Wilsbacher LD, Moran SM, Clendenin C, Radcliffe LA, Hogenesch JB, Simon MC, Takahashi JS, Bradfield CA. (2000). *Mop3* is an essential component of the master circadian pacemaker in mammals. *Cell* 103:1009–1017.
- Burgoon PW, Lindberg PT, Gillette MU. (2004). Different patterns of circadian oscillation in the suprachiasmatic nucleus of hamster, mouse, and rat. *J. Comp. Physiol. A Neuroethol. Sens. Neural Behav. Physiol.* 190:167–171.
- Dardente H, Cermakian N. (2007). Review: Molecular circadian rhythms in central and peripheral clocks in mammals. *Chronobiol. Int.* 24:195–213.
- Deboer T, Vansteensel MJ, Detari L, Meijer JH. (2003). Sleep states alter activity of suprachiasmatic nucleus neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.* 6:1086–1090.
- Eilers PH.C. (2003). A perfect smoother. *Anal. Chem.* 75:3631–3636.
- Gillette MU. (1986). The suprachiasmatic nuclei: Circadian phase-shifts induced at the time of hypothalamic slice preparation are preserved in vitro. *Brain Res.* 379:176–181.
- Gillette MU. (1991). SCN electrophysiology in vitro: Rhythmic activity and endogenous clock properties. In Klein DC, Moore RY, Reppert SM (eds). *SCN: The mind’s clock*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 125–143.

- Gillette MU, Prosser RA. (1988). Circadian rhythm of the rat suprachiasmatic brain slice is rapidly reset by daytime application of cAMP analogs. *Brain Res.* 474:348–352.
- Gillette MU, Medanic M, McArthur AJ, Liu C, Ding JM, Faiman LE, Weber ET, Tchong TK, Gallman EA. (1995). Intrinsic neuronal rhythms in the suprachiasmatic nuclei and their adjustment. *CIBA Found. Symp.* 183:134–144.
- Gribkoff VK, Pieschl RL, Wisialowski TA, van den Pol AN, Yocca FD. (1998). Phase shifting of circadian rhythms and depression of neuronal activity in the rat suprachiasmatic nucleus by neuropeptide Y: Mediation by different receptor subtypes. *J. Neurosci.* 18:3014–3022.
- Groos G, Hendriks J. (1982). Circadian rhythms in electrical discharge of rat suprachiasmatic neurones recorded in vitro. *Neurosci. Lett.* 34:283–288.
- Hastings MH, Herzog ED. (2004). Clock genes, oscillators, and cellular networks in the suprachiasmatic nuclei. *J. Biol. Rhythms* 19:400–413.
- Hastings MH, Field MD, Maywood ES, Weaver DR, Reppert SM. (1999). Differential regulation of mPER1 and mTIM proteins in the mouse suprachiasmatic nuclei: New insights into a core clock mechanism. *J. Neurosci.* 19:RC11.
- Herzog ED, Geusz ME, Khalsa SB, Straume M, Block GD. (1997). Circadian rhythms in mouse suprachiasmatic nucleus explants on multimicroelectrode plates. *Brain Res* 757:285–290.
- Jagota A, de la Iglesia HO, Schwartz WJ. (2000). Morning and evening circadian oscillations in the suprachiasmatic nucleus in vitro. *Nat. Neurosci.* 3:372–376.
- Kalsbeek A, Palm IF, La Fleur SE, Scheer FA, Perreau-Lenz S, Ruiters M, Kreier F, Cailotto C, Buijs RM. (2006). SCN outputs and the hypothalamic balance of life. *J. Biol. Rhythms* 21:458–469.
- Kume K, Zylka MJ, Sriram S, Shearman LP, Weaver DR, Jin X, Maywood ES, Hastings MH, Reppert SM. (1999). mCRY1 and mCRY2 are essential components of the negative limb of the circadian clock feedback loop. *Cell* 98:193–205.
- Liu C, Gillette MU. (1996). Cholinergic regulation of the suprachiasmatic nucleus circadian rhythm via a muscarinic mechanism at night. *J. Neurosci.* 16:744–751.
- Liu C, Weaver DR, Jin X, Shearman LP, Pieschl RL, Gribkoff VK, Reppert SM. (1997). Molecular dissection of two distinct actions of melatonin on the suprachiasmatic circadian clock. *Neuron* 19:91–102.
- Mason R, Rusak B. (1990). Neurophysiological responses to melatonin in the SCN of short-day sensitive and refractory hamsters. *Brain Res.* 533:15–19.
- McArthur AJ, Gillette MU, Prosser RA. (1991). Melatonin directly resets the rat suprachiasmatic circadian clock in vitro. *Brain Res.* 565:158–161.
- McArthur AJ, Coogan AN, Ajpru S, Sugden D, Biello SM, Piggins HD. (2000). Gastrin-releasing peptide phase-shifts suprachiasmatic nuclei neuronal rhythms in vitro. *J. Neurosci.* 20:5496–5502.
- Meijer JH, Rietveld WJ. (1989). Neurophysiology of the suprachiasmatic circadian pacemaker in rodents. *Physiol. Rev.* 69:671–707.
- Meijer JH, Schaap J, Watanabe K, Albus H. (1997). Multiunit activity recordings in the suprachiasmatic nuclei: In vivo versus in vitro models. *Brain Res.* 753:322–327.
- Meijer JH, Watanabe K, Schaap J, Albus H, Detari L. (1998). Light responsiveness of the suprachiasmatic nucleus: Long-term multiunit and single-unit recordings in freely moving rats. *J. Neurosci.* 18:9078–9087.
- Morin LP, Allen CN. (2006). The circadian visual system, 2005. *Brain Res. Rev.* 51:1–60.
- Mrugala M, Zlomanczuk P, Jagota A, Schwartz WJ. (2000). Rhythmic multiunit neural activity in slices of hamster suprachiasmatic nucleus reflect prior photoperiod. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 278:R987–R994.
- Nagano M, Adachi A, Nakahama K, Nakamura T, Tamada M, Meyer-Bernstein E, Sehgal A, Shigeyoshi Y. (2003). An abrupt shift in the day/night cycle causes desynchrony in the mammalian circadian center. *J. Neurosci.* 23:6141–6151.
- Nakamura W, Yamazaki S, Takasu NN, Mishima K, Block GD. (2005). Differential response of Period 1 expression within the suprachiasmatic nucleus. *J. Neurosci.* 25:5481–5487.
- Ohta H, Yamazaki S, McMahon DG. (2005). Constant light desynchronizes mammalian clock neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.* 8:267–269.
- Portaluppi F, Touitou Y, Smolensky MH. (2008). Ethical and methodological standards for laboratory and medical biological rhythm research. *Chronobiol. Int.* 25:999–1016.
- Prosser RA. (1998). In vitro circadian rhythms of the mammalian suprachiasmatic nuclei: Comparison of multi-unit and single-unit neuronal activity recordings. *J. Biol. Rhythms* 13:30–38.

- Quintero JE, Kuhlman SJ, McMahon DG. (2003). The biological clock nucleus: A multiphasic oscillator network regulated by light. *J. Neurosci.* 23:8070–8076.
- Ralph MR, Foster RG, Davis FC, Menaker M. (1990). Transplanted suprachiasmatic nucleus determines circadian period. *Science* 247:975–978.
- Rangarajan R, Heller HC, Miller JD. (1994). Chloride channel block phase advances the single-unit activity rhythm in the SCN. *Brain Res. Bull.* 34:69–72.
- Reppert SM, Weaver DR. (2002). Coordination of circadian timing in mammals. *Nature* 418:935–941.
- Saeb-Parsy K, Dyball RE. (2003). Defined cell groups in the rat suprachiasmatic nucleus have different day/night rhythms of single-unit activity in vivo. *J. Biol. Rhythms* 18:26–42.
- Schaap J, Meijer JH. (2001). Opposing effects of behavioural activity and light on neurons of the suprachiasmatic nucleus. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 13:1955–1962.
- Schaap J, Albus H, Eilers PH, Detari L, Meijer JH. (2001). Phase differences in electrical discharge rhythms between neuronal populations of the left and right suprachiasmatic nuclei. *Neuroscience* 108:359–363.
- Schaap J, Albus H, VanderLeest HT, Eilers PH, Detari L, Meijer JH. (2003). Heterogeneity of rhythmic suprachiasmatic nucleus neurons: Implications for circadian waveform and photoperiodic encoding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100:15994–15999.
- Schak KM, Harrington ME. (1999). Protein kinase C inhibition and activation phase advances the hamster circadian clock. *Brain Res.* 840:158–161.
- Schwartz WJ, Gross RA, Morton MT. (1987). The suprachiasmatic nuclei contain a tetrodotoxin-resistant circadian pacemaker. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 84:1694–1698.
- Shearman LP, Zylka MJ, Weaver DR, Kolakowski LF, Jr., Reppert SM. (1997). Two period homologs: Circadian expression and photic regulation in the suprachiasmatic nuclei. *Neuron.* 19:1261–1269.
- Shibata S, Moore RY. (1993). Neuropeptide Y and optic chiasm stimulation affect suprachiasmatic nucleus circadian function in vitro. *Brain Res.* 615:95–100.
- Shinohara K, Honma S, Katsuno Y, Abe H, Honma K. (1995). Two distinct oscillators in the rat suprachiasmatic nucleus in vitro. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 92:7396–7400.
- Shinohara K, Honma S, Katsuno Y, Honma K. (2000). Circadian release of excitatory amino acids in the suprachiasmatic nucleus culture is Ca²⁺-independent. *Neurosci. Res.* 36:245–250.
- Silver R, LeSauter J, Tresco PA, Lehman MN. (1996). A diffusible coupling signal from the transplanted suprachiasmatic nucleus controlling circadian locomotor rhythms. *Nature* 382:810–813.
- Soscia SJ, Harrington ME. (2004). Neuropeptide Y attenuates NMDA-induced phase shifts in the SCN of NPY Y1 receptor knockout mice in vitro. *Brain Res.* 1023:148–153.
- Stephan FK, Zucker I. (1972). Circadian rhythms in drinking behavior and locomotor activity of rats are eliminated by hypothalamic lesions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 69:1583–1586.
- Takahashi JS. (1993). Circadian-clock regulation of gene expression. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 3:301–309.
- van der Horst GT, Muijtjens M, Kobayashi K, Takano R, Kanno S, Takao M, de WJ, Verkerk A, Eker AP, Van LD, Buijs R, Bootsma D, Hoeijmakers JH, Yasui A. (1999). Mammalian Cry1 and Cry2 are essential for maintenance of circadian rhythms. *Nature* 398:627–630.
- van Oosterhout F, Michel S, Deboer T, Houben T, van de Ven RC, Albus H, Westerhout J, Vansteensel MJ, Ferrari MD, van den Maagdenberg AM, Meijer JH. (2008). Enhanced circadian phase resetting in R192Q Cav2.1 calcium channel migraine mice. *Ann. Neurol.* 64:315–324.
- VanderLeest HT, Houben T, Michel S, Deboer T, Albus H, Vansteensel MJ, Block GD, Meijer JH. (2007). Seasonal encoding by the circadian pacemaker of the SCN. *Curr. Biol.* 17:468–473.
- Vansteensel MJ, Yamazaki S, Albus H, Deboer T, Block GD, Meijer JH. (2003). Dissociation between circadian Per1 and neuronal and behavioral rhythms following a shifted environmental cycle. *Curr. Biol.* 13:1538–1542.
- Vitaterna MH, King DP, Chang AM, Kornhauser JM, Lowrey PL, McDonald JD, Dove WF, Pinto LH, Turek FW, Takahashi JS. (1994). Mutagenesis and mapping of a mouse gene clock, essential for circadian behavior. *Science* 264:719–725.
- Vitaterna MH, Selby CP, Todo T, Niwa H, Thompson C, Fruechte EM, Hitomi K, Thresher RJ, Ishikawa T, Miyazaki J, Takahashi JS, Sancar A. (1999). Differential regulation of mammalian period genes and circadian rhythmicity by cryptochromes 1 and 2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 96:12114–12119.
- Welsh DK, Logothetis DE, Meister M, Reppert SM. (1995). Individual neurons dissociated from rat suprachiasmatic nucleus express independently phased circadian firing rhythms. *Neuron* 14:697–706.

- Yamaguchi S, Kobayashi M, Mitsui S, Ishida Y, van der Horst GT, Suzuki M, Shibata S, Okamura H. (2001). View of a mouse clock gene ticking. *Nature* 409:684.
- Yamaguchi S, Isejima H, Matsuo T, Okura R, Yagita K, Kobayashi M, Okamura H. (2003). Synchronization of cellular clocks in the suprachiasmatic nucleus. *Science* 302:1408–1412.
- Yamazaki S, Kerbeshian MC, Hocker CG, Block GD, Menaker M. (1998). Rhythmic properties of the hamster suprachiasmatic nucleus in vivo. *J. Neurosci.* 18:10709–10723.
- Yamazaki S, Numano R, Abe M, Hida A, Takahashi R, Ueda M, Block GD, Sakaki Y, Menaker M, Tei H. (2000). Resetting central and peripheral circadian oscillators in transgenic rats. *Science* 288:682–685.
- Yannielli PC, Harrington ME. (2000). Neuropeptide Y applied in vitro can block the phase shifts induced by light in vivo. *Neuroreport* 11:1587–1591.
- Yannielli PC, Kinley Brewer J, Harrington ME. (2002). Is novel wheel inhibition of per1 and per2 expression linked to phase shift occurrence? *Neuroscience* 112:677–685.
- Yoshikawa T, Yamazaki S, Menaker M. (2005). Effects of preparation time on phase of cultured tissues reveal complexity of circadian organization. *J. Biol. Rhythms* 20:500–512.